

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,616	02/15/2002		Yoshiaki Togawa	380153-72	2878
25204	7590	11/17/2004		EXAMINER	
OPPENHE		OLFF & DONNEI	PHAM, HOA Q		
SUITE 700	KI CLIVI	EK DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEWPORT	BEACH,	CA 92660	2877		

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/077,616	TOGAWA, YOSHIAKI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Hoa Q. Pham	2877
The MAILING DATE of this communication a eriod for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a till five period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tatus	•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	October 2004.	
	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	•	
isposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	lrawn from consideration.	
pplication Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt The oath or declaration is objected to by the	nccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a least content of the priority document of the priority documen	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
ttachment(s)	_	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/IPaper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	, 	

Application/Control Number: 10/077,616

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/4/2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

And/or

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Shimaoka (6,473,178) (of record).

Regarding claims 1-2, as understood, claims 1 and 4 are read on the figure 8 of Shimaoka, for example, Shimaoka discloses a sample cell (52) for receiving particles (P) therein, a light source section (51a, 61, 61a) includes a first laser light source (51a) for irradiating light beam in the range of from 600-800 nm for detecting the particles having large diameters and a second light source (61) for irradiating the light beam having wavelength shorter than the first laser light source for detecting small particles in the order of sub-sub-micron (less than 0.1 um), a detector unit (53b, 54, 55, 62, 63) for measuring the intensity of a direct light passing through the sample cell and light scatted

Application/Control Number: 10/077,616

Art Unit: 2877

Page 3

by the particles at respective scattering angles and an arithmetic processing unit (57, 58) for determining the particle size distribution by using the laser light at the first and second wavelengths in the whole range of the particle size to be measured to compensate the sensitivity of the region (see figure 8). Since column 4, lines 34-41 of Shimaoka teaches, "the light source (51a) is a semiconductor laser having a wavelength region (600-800 nm) and the light source (61) capable of outputting beam having a wavelength shorter than the laser". Thus, it is inherent that both light sources are semiconductor lasers, if not, this limitation is taught in other embodiments, for example, Shimaoka teaches that the small particles can be detected by using a laser beam source having a wavelength in the range from 300 to 500 nm (column 7, lines 50-61 and column 6, lines 1-20). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the light source (61) of Shimaoka by a laser source in the range from 300 to 500 nm for the purpose of detecting particles having small diameters.

Regarding claim 3, figure 8 teaches that the direct light passed through the sample is detected by detector (53b) and scattered lights at different angles are detected by detectors (54, 55, 62, 63).

Regarding claim 6, as mentioned above the second light source capable of outputting beam having a wavelength shorter than the first light source.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/077,616 Page 4

Art Unit: 2877

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4-5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Shimaoka.

Regarding to amended claim 4, it would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to operate the first and

second light sources (51a and 61) sequentially. The rationale for this modification would

have been arisen from the fact that by turning ON and OFF the light sources at different

times would improve the signal to noise ratio. Thus, an accuracy of the measurement is

obtained.

Regarding claim 5, Shimaoka does not explicitly teach the use of a shuttle for

transmitting light of selected wavelength and prevent another wavelength. However,

such a feature is well known in the art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in Shimaoka a

shuttle because this is a known shuttle which is known to serve for the purpose of

Shimaoka of transmitting light of a wavelength at a certain wavelengths and prevent the

other wavelengths.

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/077,616 Page 5

Art Unit: 2877

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/4/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- a. Applicant's remarks, page 5, argue that light source (61) is not a laser source. The argument is not deemed to be persuasive because as mentioned above light source 61 is capable of outputting a light beam having a wavelength shorter than the other laser source (51a), which has the range of wavelengths from 600 to 800nm; thus it is inherent that the light source 61 is a laser source. In addition, Shimaoka teaches in other embodiments, a laser source having shorter wavelength in the ranges from 300 nm to 500 nm as mentioned above. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the light source 61 by a laser source as suggested by Shimaoka because they would function in the same manner.
- b. Applicant is noted that the range of frequencies is from 300-800nm; thus, the intensity of light to be measured is within the measuring range.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa Q. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2426. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM to 6 PM, Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2800 ext. 77. The fax

Art Unit: 2877

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoa Q. Pham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

HP November 8, 2004